

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,049	11/13/2003	John J. Duigenan	GB920030046US1	3180
35525 IBM CORP (Y	7590 04/25/200 (A.)	8	EXAM	UNER
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC			PHAN, TUANKHANH D	
P.O. BOX 802 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	EL ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/714,049
 DUIGENAN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 TUAN-KHANH PHAN
 2163

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>TUAN-KHANH PHAN</u> .	(3) <u>Gerald H. Glanzman</u> .					
(2) <u>HUNG VY</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 16 April 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	) applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>17</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Rosenberg et al. & Kumar.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Propose to amend claim 17: applicant asked for Examiner's suggestion; Rosenberg and Kumar references have been discussed and pointed out.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AN INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER (INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTEFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTEF requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO					

/TUAN-KHANH PHAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2163 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.